

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/777,866	02/07/2001	Alfred Heinrich	2549-6	4045
23117 75	90 08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714		AHMAD, NASSER		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/777,866	HEINRICH, ALFRED				
omoc Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Nasser Ahmad	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. TO (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	ay 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/777,866

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections withdrawn

- 1. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Caillaut in view of applicant's amendment filed on May 6, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caillaut in view of the amendment of May 6, 2004.

Indicated Allowability withdrawn

3. Claims 6-7, 9 and 12 indicated as being free of the uncovered prior art in the Office Action of January 28, 2004 is withdrawn in view of the newly uncovered prior art.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-14 and 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burleigh (2810239).

Burleigh relates to a composite article (figure-1) comprising a filled plastic component (2) defining a first axial face and having filler material (abrasive particles) embedded

Art Unit: 1772

within a plastic matrix, a basic body (3) defining a second axial face, and an intermediary component (5) of elastic material which is positioned between and connects the basic body to the plastic component. The intermediary component comprises at least one projection extending outwardly therefrom towards the plastic component (figure-3, the outer flat ring surface) which is received in the recess of the plastic component (figure-4, outer flat ring surface). As shown in the drawings, the intermediary is ring or disc shaped, the basic body is provided with a bush part (hugging the shaft 1) and the outwardly extending flange part to which the intermediary is fixed. Figure-1 shows that the flange part of (3) includes at least one opening adjacent the intermediary component with stud projection (col. 1, lines 42-46) to connect the intermediary to the flange part.

The process steps of "injection molded onto the flange" or "formed by means of ultrasonic or hot stamping", etc. have not been given patentable weight as the process is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself. Further, the intended use phrase have not been given patentable weight because said phrases arte not deemed to be of positive limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,866

Art Unit: 1772

8. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burleigh in view of Simjian (2735232).

Burleigh, as discussed above, fails to teach that the plastic component comprises magnetic particles as filler. Simjian discloses a polishing device wherein the abrasive mixture includes magnetic particles (col. 1, lines 49-50; and col. 2, lines 3-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious tone having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Simjian's teaching of using magnetic particles as abrasive fillers in the invention of Burleigh with the motivation to provide for enhanced cleaning/polishing of a substrate.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. August 23, 2004.